

# Fourier spectrum characterization of Hardy Space

Guan-Tie Deng \*

School of Mathematical Sciences,

Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education,

Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P.R.Chin.

## Abstract

Fourier spectrum of the boundary values of functions in the complex Hardy Space  $H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$  is characterized for  $p \in (0, 2)$ . First, functions  $f$  in the  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  can be decomposed into a sum  $g + h$ , where  $g$  and  $h$  are the non-tangential boundary limits of function in  $H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$  and  $H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$  in the sense of  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$  and  $H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$  are the Hardy spaces in the upper and lower complex plane  $\mathbb{C}_+$  and  $\mathbb{C}_-$ , respectively. Secondly, as an application, extend the Paley-Wiener Theorem is extended, originally for square-integrable functions, to the  $H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$  cases with  $0 < p < 2$ . Finally, Fourier spectrum characterization is given for  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  functions.

**Key Words** The Paley-Wiener Theorem, Hardy Space

## 1 Introduction

The classical Hardy spaces  $H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ ,  $0 < p < +\infty$ , are defined to consist of those functions  $f$ , holomorphic in the upper half plane  $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z = x + iy : y > 0\}$  with the property that  $M_p(f, y)$  is uniformly bounded for  $y > 0$ , where

$$M_p(f, y) = \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x + iy)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since  $|f|^p$  is subharmonic for  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ , the function  $M_p(f, y)$  decreases in  $(0, \infty)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{H^p_+} = \sup\{M_p(f, y) : 0 < y < \infty\} = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} M_p(f, y).$$

If  $f(x)$  is the non-tangential boundary limits of the function  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ , then  $f(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , and

$$\|f\|_p = \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|f\|_{H^p_+}.$$

---

\*Email:denggt@bnu.edu.cn. This work was partially supported by NSFC (Grant 11271045)

Similarly,  $H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$ ,  $0 < p < +\infty$ , are defined to consist of those functions  $g$ , holomorphic in the lower half plane  $\mathbb{C}_- = \{z = x + iy : y < 0\}$  with the property that  $M_p(f, y)$  is uniformly bounded for  $y < 0$ , where

$$M_p(g, y) = \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x + iy)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since  $|g|^p$  is subharmonic for  $g \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$ , the function  $M_p(g, y)$  increases in  $(-\infty, 0)$ ,

$$\|g\|_{H_-^p} = \sup\{M_p(g, y) : y < 0\} = \lim_{y < 0, y \rightarrow 0} M_p(g, y).$$

If  $g(x)$  is the non-tangential boundary limits of the function  $g \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$ , then  $g(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , and

$$\|g\|_p = \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|f\|_{H_-^p}.$$

Since there is an isometric isomorphism between their non-tangential boundary limits and the functions in the Hardy spaces, we denote by  $H_+^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $H_-^p(\mathbb{R})$  their the non-tangential boundary limits, respectively, that is

$$H_+^p(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f : f \text{ is the non-tangential boundary limit of a function in } H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \right\},$$

$$H_-^p(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f : f \text{ is the non-tangential boundary limit of a function in } H^p(\mathbb{C}_-) \right\}.$$

The Fourier transform of a function  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is defined, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , by

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{-ixt} dt.$$

We recall that the Fourier transformation for tempered distribution,  $T$ , is defined through the relation

$$(\widehat{T}, \varphi) = (T, \widehat{\varphi})$$

for  $\varphi$  in the Schwarz class  $\mathcal{S}$ , which coincides with the traditional definitions of Fourier transformations for functions in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . A measurable function  $f$  such that

$$\frac{f(x)}{(1+x^2)^k} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}), \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

for some positive integer  $k$ , is called a tempered  $L^p$  function (when  $p = \infty$  such a function is often also called a slowly increasing function). The Fourier transform is a one to one mapping of  $\mathcal{S}$  onto  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Our results are as follow:

**Theorem 1** Suppose that  $0 < p < 1$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . Then, there exist a positive constant  $A_p$  and two sequences of rational functions  $\{P_k(z)\}$  and  $\{Q_k(z)\}$  such that  $P_k \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ ,  $Q_k \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$  and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \|P_k\|_{H^p_+}^p + \|Q_k\|_{H^p_-}^p \right) \leq A_p \|f\|_p^p, \quad (1)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - \sum_{k=1}^n (P_k + Q_k)\|_p = 0. \quad (2)$$

so

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k(z) \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+), \quad h(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k(z) \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_-), \quad (3)$$

and  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  are the non-tangential boundary limits of functions for  $g \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$  and  $h \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$ , respectively,  $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$  almost everywhere, and

$$\|f\|_p^p \leq \|g\|_p^p + \|h\|_p^p \leq A_p \|f\|_p^p,$$

that is, in the sense of  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}) = H^p_+(\mathbb{R}) + H^p_-(\mathbb{R}).$$

**Theorem 2** If  $0 < p \leq 1$ ,  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ , then there exist a positive constant  $A_p$ , depending only on  $p$ , and a slowly increasing continuous function  $F$  whose support is in  $[0, \infty)$ , satisfying that, for  $\varphi$  in the Schwarz class  $\mathcal{S}$ ,

$$(F, \varphi) = \lim_{y > 0, y \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x + iy) \hat{\varphi}(x) dx,$$

and that

$$|F(t)| \leq A_p \|f\|_{H^p_+} |t|^{\frac{1}{p}-1}, \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}) \quad (4)$$

and

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} F(t) e^{itz} dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}_+).$$

**Theorem 3** If  $0 < p \leq 1$ ,  $g \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$ , then there exist a positive constant  $A_p$ , depending only on  $p$ , and a slowly increasing continuous function  $G$  whose support is in  $(-\infty, 0]$ , satisfying that, for  $\varphi$  in the Schwarz class  $\mathcal{S}$ ,

$$(G, \varphi) = \lim_{y < 0, y \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x + iy) \hat{\varphi}(x) dx,$$

and that (4) holds and that

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 G(t) e^{itz} dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}_-).$$

**Theorem 4** Let  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $f \in H_+^p(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if there exists a sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  satisfying  $f_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\text{supp} \hat{f}_n \subset [0, +\infty)$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_p = 0, \quad (5)$$

i.e.,  $H_+^p(\mathbb{R})$  is the  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -closure of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap H_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Theorem 5** ( the Paley-Wiener Theorem for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  ) Suppose  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $f \in H_+^p(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if  $\text{supp} \hat{f} \subset [0, +\infty)$ . If the condition is satisfied, then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(t) e^{itz} dt \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+), \quad (6)$$

$f(x)$  are the non-tangential boundary limits of function  $f(z)$ .

## 2 Proof of theorem 1

We need the following Lemmas.

**Lemma 1** Suppose that  $0 < p < 1$  and  $R$  is a rational function with  $R \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , we have the following conclusion.

- 1) if  $R(z)$  is analytic in the upper plane  $\mathbb{C}_+$ , then  $R \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ .
- 2) if  $R(z)$  is analytic in the lower plane  $\mathbb{C}_-$ , then  $R \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$ .

**Proof** If  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $R(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$ , where  $P(z)$  and  $Q(z)$  are polynomials with the degrees  $m = \deg P$  and  $n = \deg Q$ , respectively, and without the common zeros, and there exists a constant  $c \neq 0$  such that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} R(z) z^{n-m} = c.$$

Therefore, there exists a constant  $M_0 > 1$  such that

$$\frac{|c|}{2} |z|^{m-n} \leq |R(z)| \leq 2|c| |z|^{m-n}, \quad |z| > M_0,$$

$R \in L^p([M_0, \infty))$  implies that  $p(m-n) < -1$ , and so for  $y \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x| > M_0} |R(x+iy)|^p dx \leq (2|c|)^p \int_{|x| > R} |x+iy|^{p(m-n)} dx \\ & \leq (2|c|)^p \int_{|x| > M_0} |x|^{p(m-n)} dx = \frac{2^{p+1}|c|^p}{p(n-m)-1} = M_1 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_1$  is a positive constant.

Similarly for  $y > R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x| \leq M_0} |R(x+iy)|^p dx \leq (2|c|)^p \int_{|x| \leq M_0} |x+iy|^{p(m-n)} dx \\ & \leq 2(2|c|)^p M_0^{p(m-n)+1} = M_2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if  $R(z)$  is analytic in the upper half plane  $\mathbb{C}_+$ , then  $Q(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ . If  $Q(x) \neq 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $R(z)$  is continuous in the rectangle  $E_0 = [-M_0, M_0] \times [0, M_0]$ , and so  $R \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ . Otherwise, the null set  $N_Q = \{a \in \mathbb{R} : Q(a) = 0\}$  of  $Q$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is a finite set, let  $N_Q = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q\}$  with  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_q$ , and  $P(a_k) \neq 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$ . Therefore there exists a polynomial  $Q_1(z)$  with  $Q_1(a_k) \neq 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$ , and positive integers  $l_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ) such that

$$Q(z) = (z - a_1)^{l_1} (z - a_2)^{l_2} \dots (z - a_q)^{l_q} Q_1(z),$$

so there exist positive constants  $\delta, \varepsilon_0$  and  $M_3 > \varepsilon_0$  such that

$$\varepsilon_0 \leq |R(z)(z - a_k)^{l_k}| \leq M_3,$$

for  $z = x + iy \in I_k = \{z + x + iy : 0 < |x - a_k| \leq \delta, 0 \leq y \leq \delta, k = 1, 2, \dots, q\}$ . Therefore,

$$\int_{|x - a_k| \leq \delta} |R(x)|^p dx \geq \varepsilon_0^p \int_{|x - a_k| \leq \delta} |x - a_k|^{-pl_k} dx,$$

$R \in L^p([a_k - \delta, a_k + \delta])$  implies that  $pl_k < 1$ , and so for  $y \in [0, \delta]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x - a_k| \leq \delta} |R(x + iy)|^p dx &\leq M_3^p \int_{|x - a_k| \leq \delta} |x + iy - a_k|^{-pl_k} dx \\ &\leq M_3^p \int_{|x - a_k| \leq \delta} |x - a_k|^{-pl_k} dx = \frac{2M_3^p \delta^{1-pl_k}}{1 - pl_k} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since the poles  $R(z)$  in the closed upper half plane is in  $N_q$ , so  $R(z)$  is continuous in the bounded closed set

$$\{z \in E_0 : z \notin I_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, q\}.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{|x| \leq M_0} |R(x + iy)|^p dx$$

is bounded on  $[0, M_0]$ . This proves that  $R \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ . Similarly, we can prove 2) in Lemma 1

**Lemma 2** If  $0 < p \leq 1$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a sequence of rational functions  $\{R_k(z)\}$ , Their poles are contained in  $\{i, -i\}$ , such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|R_k\|_p^p \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|f\|_p^p \quad (7)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - \sum_{k=1}^n R_k\|_p = 0. \quad (8)$$

**Proof** For case  $0 < p < 1$ , we can assume that  $\|f\|_p^p > 0$ . The fractional linear mapping

$$z = \alpha(w) = i \frac{1-w}{1+w}$$

is a conformal mapping from the unit disc  $U = \{w : |w| < 1\}$  to the upper half plane  $\mathbb{C}_+$ , its inverse mapping is

$$\beta(z) = \frac{i-z}{z+i}.$$

Let  $x = \alpha(e^{i\theta})$ ,  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ , then  $x = \tan \frac{\theta}{2}$  and  $dx = \frac{d\theta}{1+\cos\theta}$ , so

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(\tan \frac{\theta}{2})|^p \frac{d\theta}{1+\cos\theta} < \infty.$$

Therefore the function

$$g(\theta) = \frac{f(\tan \frac{\theta}{2})}{(1+\cos\theta)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \in L^p([-\pi, \pi]).$$

The trigonometric polynomials is dense in  $L^p([-\pi, \pi])$ , so there exists a sequence of rational functions  $\{r_k(w)\}$ , whose poles are contained in  $\{0\}$ , i.e., each  $r_k(e^{i\theta})$  can be write as  $\sum_{j=-m_k}^{m_k} c_{k,j} e^{ij\theta}$ , which are trigonometric polynomials, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|g(\theta) - r_k(e^{i\theta})\|_{L^p([-\pi, \pi])} = 0.$$

Furthermore, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the sequence of rational functions  $\{r_k(w)\}$  can be choose such that

$$\|g(\theta) - r_k(e^{i\theta})\|_{L^p([-\pi, \pi])}^p \leq \frac{A_\varepsilon}{4^{k+3}}.$$

where  $A_\varepsilon = \|f\|_p^p \varepsilon$ , Let

$$M_k = \sum_{j=-m_k}^{m_k} |c_{k,j}| + 1.$$

Since  $0 < p < 1$ , there exists a positive integer  $l_p$  such that  $1 < p2^{l_p} \leq 2$ . taking  $m = 2^{l_p-1}$ , then  $m$  is a positive integer satisfying  $1 < 2pm \leq 2$ . Thus we have  $0 \geq 2(pm-1) > -1$ . The function

$$g_1(\theta) = (2 \sin^2 \theta)^{pm-1} \in L^1 \left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right].$$

Let

$$C_1 = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} g_1(\theta) d\theta.$$

The function  $g_2(x) = x^{\frac{1}{p}-m}$  is continuous in the interval  $[0, 2]$ , then there exist a sequence of polynomials  $\{q_k(x)\}$  such that

$$|g_2(x) - q_k(x)| < \frac{A_\varepsilon}{M_k^p C_1 4^{k+3}}$$

so

$$I_k = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |(2 \sin^2 \theta)^{\frac{1}{p}-m} - q_k(2 \sin^2 \theta)|^p g_1(\theta) d\theta \leq \frac{A_\varepsilon}{M_k 4^{k+3}}.$$

Let

$$s_k(e^{i\theta}) = r_k(e^{i\theta}) q_k(1 + \cos \theta)(1 + \cos \theta)^m,$$

then  $s_k(e^{i\theta})$  is a trigonometric polynomial, and satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} J_k &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| r_k(e^{i\theta}) - \frac{s_k(e^{i\theta})}{(1 + \cos \theta)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right|^p d\theta \\ &\leq M_k^p \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |1 - q_k(1 + \cos \theta)(1 + \cos \theta)^{m-\frac{1}{p}}|^p d\theta \\ &= M_k^p \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |(1 + \cos \theta)^{\frac{1}{p}-m} - q_k(1 + \cos \theta)|^p (1 + \cos \theta)^{pm-1} d\theta \\ &= M_k^p \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g_2(1 + \cos \theta) - q_k(1 + \cos \theta)|^p (1 + \cos \theta)^{pm-1} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

so

$$J_k \leq \frac{1}{C_1 4^{k+3}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (1 + \cos \theta)^{pm-1} d\theta$$

Since  $(1 + \cos \theta) = 2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$ , so

$$J_k \leq \frac{A_\varepsilon}{C_1 4^{k+3}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (1 + \cos \theta)^{pm-1} d\theta = \frac{A_\varepsilon}{C_1 4^{k+2}} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (2 \cos \theta)^{pm-1} d\theta$$

so

$$J_k \leq \frac{A_\varepsilon}{C_1 4^{k+2}} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (2 \sin \theta)^{pm-1} d\theta < \frac{A_\varepsilon}{4^{k+2}}.$$

Finally, let

$$g_k(\theta) = \frac{s_k(e^{i\theta})}{(1 + \cos \theta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} T_k &= \|g - g_k\|_{L^p([- \pi, \pi])}^p \\ &\leq \|g - r_k(e^{i\cdot})\|_{L^p([- \pi, \pi])}^p + \|r_k(e^{i\cdot}) - g_k\|_{L^p([- \pi, \pi])}^p \leq \frac{2A_\varepsilon}{4^{k+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_k &= \|g - g_k\|_{L^p([- \pi, \pi])}^p = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| f\left(\tan \frac{\theta}{2}\right) - s_k(e^{i\theta}) \right|^p \frac{d\theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| f(x) - s_k\left(\frac{i-x}{x+i}\right) \right|^p dx \leq \frac{2A_\varepsilon}{4^{k+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

the function

$$Q_k(z) = s_k \left( \frac{i-z}{z+i} \right)$$

is a rational function, the pole of  $Q_k(z)$  is contained in  $\{i, -i\}$ , such that

$$\|Q_k\|_p^p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Q_k(x)|^p dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| s_k \left( \frac{i-x}{x+i} \right) \right|^p dx \leq \|f\|_p^p + \frac{3A_\varepsilon}{4^{k+3}}$$

and

$$\|f - Q_k\|_p^p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| f(x) - s_k \left( \frac{i-x}{x+i} \right) \right|^p dx \leq \frac{2A_\varepsilon}{4^{k+3}}.$$

Therefore, the sequence of rational functions  $\{Q_k(z)\}$  can be choose such that

$$\|Q_k - Q_{k-1}\|_p^p \leq \frac{A_\varepsilon}{4^{k+2}}. \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots)$$

Let

$$R_1(z) = Q_1(z), \quad R_k(z) = Q_k(z) - Q_{k-1}(z), \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots)$$

the sequence  $\{R_k(z)\}$  is a sequence of rational functions, the poles of each  $R_k(z)$  is contained in  $\{i, -i\}$ , satisfying (7) and (8).

For case  $p = 1$ ,

if  $f \in L(\mathbb{R}) \cap \{f : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)dx = 0\}$ , and  $R(x) \in A \cap \{R : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(x)dx = 0\}$ , where  $A = \{R(x) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^s \alpha_k x^k}{(1+x^2)^l} : s < 2l\}$ . Let  $f = f^+ - f^-$ ,  $f^+ = \max\{f, 0\}$ ,  $f^- = \max\{-f, 0\}$ . Because  $A$  is dense in  $L(\mathbb{R})$ , (in fact), for any  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ , there exist  $R_1 \in A, R_2 \in A$  such that

$$\|R_1 - f^+\|_1 < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}, \quad \|R_2 - f^-\|_1 < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}.$$

So that

$$\|R_1 - R_2 - f\|_1 = \|R_1 - R_2 - (f^+ - f^-)\|_1 \leq \|R_1 - f^+\|_1 + \|R_2 - f^-\|_1 < \varepsilon_1.$$

Since  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^+(x)dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^-(x)dx = 0$ , that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^+(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^-(x)dx$ . Therefore, for any  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_1(x) - R_2(x)dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_1(x) - R_2(x)dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_1(x) - f^-(x)dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^+(x) - R_2(x)dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_1(x) - f^+(x)dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^-(x) - R_2(x)dx := \varepsilon_3 \end{aligned}.$$

We can let  $R(x) = R_1(x) - R_2(x) - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2\pi(1+x^2)}$ , then  $R(x) \in A$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(x)dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_1(x) - R_2(x) - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2\pi(1+x^2)} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_1(x) - R_2(x)dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2\pi(1+x^2)} dx = \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_3 = 0 \end{aligned}$$



Thus,  $R(x) \in A \cap \{R : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(x)dx = 0\}$ .  
 Moreover, for  $\varepsilon_4 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |R(x) - f(x)|dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |R_1(x) - R_2(x) - f(x) - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2\pi(1+x^2)}|dx \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |R_1(x) - R_2(x) - f(x)|dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2\pi(1+x^2)}dx \leq \varepsilon_4. \end{aligned}$$

The following proof of case of  $p = 1$  is similarly to the case of  $0 < p < 1$ .  
 Thus, the proof of Lemma 2 is completed.

**Lemma 3** Suppose that  $0 < p \leq 1$  and that  $R \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  is a rational function whose poles of  $Q(z)$  is contained in  $\{i, -i\}$ , then there exist a rational functions  $P$  and  $Q$  such that  $P \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ ,  $Q \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$  such that  $R(z) = P(z) + Q(z)$  and

$$\|P\|_{H^p_+}^p + \|Q\|_{H^p_-}^p \leq \left(1 + \frac{4\pi}{1-p}\right) \|R\|_p^p.$$

**Proof** If  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $R \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $R$  is a rational function, the poles of  $R(z)$  is contained in  $\{i, -i\}$ , then  $R(z)$  can be written as

$$R(z) = \sum_{k=-n}^{k=n} c_k(\beta(z))^k, \quad \text{where } \beta(z) = \frac{i-z}{z+i}.$$

Therefore  $\beta(x) = e^{i\theta(x)}$ , where  $\theta(x) = \arg(i-x) - \arg(x+i) \in (-\pi, \pi)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define, for each  $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$P(z, \varphi) = \frac{(\beta(z))^m R(z)}{(\beta(z))^m - e^{i\varphi}}, \quad Q(z, \varphi) = \frac{(\beta(z))^{-m} R(z)}{(\beta(z))^{-m} - e^{-i\varphi}},$$

where  $m$  is any positive integer greater than the positive integer  $n$ . By Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |P(x, \varphi)|^p dx d\varphi = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\beta(x)|^{mp} |R(x)|^p}{|(\beta(x))^m - e^{i\varphi}|^p} dx d\varphi \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|R(x)|^p}{|1 - e^{i(\varphi - m\theta(x))}|^p} d\varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{2^p d\varphi}{|1 - e^{i\varphi - im\theta(x)}|^p} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{2^p d\varphi}{|1 - e^{i\varphi}|^p} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{\sin^p \frac{\varphi}{2}} \leq 4 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d\varphi}{(\frac{2}{\pi}\varphi)^p} \leq \frac{2\pi}{1-p},$$

we obtain that

$$I \leq \frac{2^{1-p}\pi}{1-p} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |R(x)|^p dx.$$

Thus, there is a real number  $\varphi$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |P(x, \varphi)|^p dx \leq \frac{2\pi}{1-p} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |R(x)|^p dx.$$

For this specially chosen real number  $\varphi$ , define  $P(z) = P(z, \varphi)$ ,  $Q(z) = Q(z, \varphi)$ , then  $R(z) = P(z) + Q(z)$ . Since  $m > n$ , the functions  $P$  and  $Q$  are rational functions, the poles of  $P(z)$  is contained in  $\{-i\} \cup \{x_k : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , the poles of  $Q(z)$  is contained in  $\{i\} \cup \{x_k : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , where

$$x_k = \alpha(e^{\frac{i}{n}(\varphi+2k\pi)}) = \tan^2\left(\frac{1}{2n}(\varphi + 2k\pi)\right)$$

are real numbers, so  $P(z)$  is analytic in the upper plane  $\mathbb{C}_+$ ,  $Q(z)$  is analytic in the lower plane  $\mathbb{C}_-$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |P(x)|^p dx &\leq \frac{2\pi}{1-p} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |R(x)|^p dx \\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |Q(x)|^p dx &\leq \left(1 + \frac{2\pi}{1-p}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |R(x)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1,  $P \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ ,  $Q \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$ .

If  $p = 1$ , suppose that  $R$  is a rational function such that  $R(x) \in A \cap \{R : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(x)dx = 0\}$ , where  $A = \{R(x) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^s \alpha_k x^k}{(1+x^2)^l} : s < 2l\}$ . Then  $R(z)$  can be written as

$$R(z) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^s \alpha_k z^k}{(1+z^2)^l}$$

where  $2l - 1 > s$ .  
Moreover, there is

$$R(z) = \frac{a_l}{(z-i)^l} + \dots + \frac{a_2}{(z-i)^2} + \frac{a_1}{(z-i)} + \frac{b_l}{(z+i)^l} + \dots + \frac{b_2}{(z+i)^2} + \frac{b_1}{(z+i)},$$

where  $a_j, b_j, (j = 1, 2, \dots, l)$  are constant complex number.

Let

$$p(z) = a_l(z+i)^l + \dots + a_1(z-i)^{l-1}(z+i)^l + b_l(z-i)^l + \dots + b_1(z+i)^{l-1}(z-i)^l,$$

then

$$R(z) = \frac{p(z)}{(z+i)^l(z-i)^l}.$$

Since  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(x)dx = 0$ , that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x)}{(1+x^2)^l} dx = 0$ , so  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)dx = 0$ .

Then we can get that  $a_1 + b_1 = 0$ .

Moreover,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(x)dx = 0$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x-i)^k} dx = 0$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+i)^k} dx = 0$  for  $k \geq 2$  assures that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_1}{x-i} + \frac{b_1}{x-i} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_1}{x-i} - \frac{a_1}{x-i} dx = 2a_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i}{1+x^2} dx = 2\pi i a_1 = 0,$$

so that  $a_1 = 0$ , and  $b_1 = -a_1 = 0$ . Therefore,  $R(z)$  can be written as

$$R(z) = \frac{a_l}{(z-i)^l} + \cdots + \frac{a_2}{(z-i)^2} + \frac{b_l}{(z+i)^l} + \cdots + \frac{b_2}{(z+i)^2}.$$

If let  $P(z) = \frac{b_l}{(z+i)^l} + \cdots + \frac{b_2}{(z+i)^2}$  and  $Q(z) = \frac{a_l}{(z-i)^l} + \cdots + \frac{a_2}{(z-i)^2}$ , then

$$R(z) = Q(z) + P(z).$$

It is easy to know that  $Q(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}_-$ , and  $P(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}_+$ . And moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |P(x+iy)| dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{b_l}{(z+i)^l} + \cdots + \frac{b_2}{(z+i)^2} \right| dx \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2}^l \left| \frac{|b_k|}{|z+i|^k} \right| dx \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2}^l \left| \frac{|b_k|}{|x|^k} \right| dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{y>0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |P(x+iy)| dx < \infty.$$

Therefore,  $P(z) \in H^1(\mathbb{C}_+)$ .

It is similarly to prove that  $Q(z) \in H^1(\mathbb{C}_-)$ .

So the proof of Lemma 3 is completed.

**Proof of Theorem 1** According to Lemma 1 and 2, there exist two sequences of rational functions  $\{P_k(z)\}$  and  $\{Q_k(z)\}$  such that  $P_k \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ ,  $Q_k \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\|P_k\|_p^p + \|Q_k\|_p^p) \leq 2 \left( 1 + \frac{2\pi}{1-p} \right) \|f\|_p^p$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - \sum_{k=1}^n (P_k + Q_k)\|_{L^p} = 0.$$

Since

$$\|P_k\|_{H_+^p}^p = \|P_k\|_p^p, \quad \|Q_k\|_{H_-^p}^p = \|Q_k\|_p^p,$$

we see that (1) and (2) hold. For any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$|P_k(x+iy+i\delta)|^p \leq C_p \|P_k\|_p^p \delta^{-1}, \quad |Q_k(x-iy-i\delta)|^p \leq C_p \|Q_k\|_p^p \delta^{-1},$$

where  $C_p = \frac{2}{\pi} \leq 1$ . (1) implies that (3) holds. Therefore the non-tangential boundary limits  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  of functions for  $g \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$  and  $h \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$  exist almost everywhere, respectively, (2) implies that  $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$  almost everywhere.

### 3 Proof of Theorems 2,3, 4 and 5

**Proof of Theorem 2** Recall the Paley-Wiener Theorem asserting that  $g \in H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$  if and only if  $\hat{g} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with the support  $\text{supp}\hat{g} \subset [0, \infty)$ , such that

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \hat{g}(t)e^{itz} dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}_+).$$

The following equality

$$\int_0^\infty |\hat{g}(t)|^2 dt = \|g\|_{H_+^2}^2$$

holds.

If  $0 < p \leq 1$ ,  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ , for  $\delta > 0$ , let  $f_\delta(z) = f(z + i\delta)$ . Then  $|f|^p$  is subharmonic and for  $y > 0$ ,

$$|f_\delta(x + iy)| \leq C_p \|f\|_{H_+^p} \delta^{-\frac{2}{p}},$$

where  $C_p^p = \frac{2}{\pi} \leq 1$ . Therefore

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty |f_\delta(x + iy)|^2 dx \leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f_\delta(x + iy)|^p |f_\delta(x + iy)|^{2-p} dx \leq C_p^{2-p} \|f\|_{H_+^p}^2 \delta^{1-\frac{2}{p}},$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty |f_\delta(x + iy)| dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f_\delta(x + iy)|^p |f_\delta(x + iy)|^{1-p} dx \leq C_p^{1-p} \|f\|_{H_+^p} \delta^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Therefore, the support  $\text{supp}\hat{f}_\delta$  of  $\hat{f}_\delta$  is in  $[0, \infty)$ ,

$$f_\delta(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}_\delta(s)e^{itz} dt,$$

Since for  $y > 0$ ,  $f_\delta(x + iy) = (P_y * f_\delta)(x)$ . where

$$P_y(x) = \text{Re} \left( \frac{i}{\pi z} \right) = \frac{y}{\pi(x^2 + y^2)}$$

is the Poisson kernel on the upper plane  $\mathbb{C}_+$ . Because that  $f_\delta \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $P_y \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\hat{P}_y(s) = e^{-|s|y}$ , for almost  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\hat{f}_{\delta+y}(s) = \hat{f}_\delta(s)e^{-|s|y}$ . So for almost  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\hat{f}_{\delta+y}(s)e^{|s|(\delta+y)} = \hat{f}_\delta(s)e^{|s|\delta}$ . i.e., the function  $F(s) = \hat{f}_\delta(s)e^{|s|\delta}$  is independent of  $\delta > 0$ , with the support  $\text{supp}F \subset [0, \infty)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |F(s)|^2 e^{-2|s|\delta} dt &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\hat{f}_\delta(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f_\delta(x)|^2 dx \leq C_p^{2-p} \|f\|_{H_+^p}^2 \delta^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$|F(s)| = |\hat{f}_\delta(s)|e^{|s|\delta} \leq \|f_\delta\|_1 e^{|s|\delta} \leq C_p^{1-p} \|f\|_{H_+^p} e^{|s|\delta} \delta^{-B_p},$$

where  $B_p = \frac{1}{p} - 1 \geq 0$ . Since

$$\inf\{|s|\delta - B_p \log \delta : \delta > 0\} = B_p - B_p(\log B_p - \log |s|),$$

therefore

$$|F(s)| \leq C_p^{1-p} \|f\|_{H_+^p} B_p^{-B_p} e^{B_p} |s|^{B_p}.$$

Thus  $F$  is a slowly increasing continuous function  $F$  whose support  $\text{supp} F$  is in  $[0, \infty)$ .  $F$  can be regard as a tempered distribution, which can be defined by

$$(F, \hat{\varphi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x) \hat{\varphi}(x) dx$$

for  $\varphi$  in the Schwarz class  $\mathcal{S}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\delta}(x) \varphi(-x) dx &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{+\infty} \hat{f}_{\delta}(x) \hat{\varphi}(x) dx, \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{+\infty} F(x) e^{-\delta x} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx = (F, \hat{\varphi}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Since  $g(z) \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)$  if and if  $f(z) = \overline{g(\bar{z})} \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ , we can see Theorem 3 holds.

**Proof of the necessity of Theorem 4 and 5.** If  $0 < p \leq 2$ ,  $f \in H_+^p(\mathbb{R})$ , then there exists  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$  such that  $f(x)$  is a non-tangential boundary limit of the function  $f(z)$ .  $|f|^p$  is subharmonic in  $\mathbb{C}_+$ , so

$$|f(x + iy)| \leq \frac{2}{\pi y} \|f\|_{H_+^p} \quad z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Let  $f_n(z) = f(z + \frac{i}{n})$ , then  $f_n \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \cap H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ , so  $f_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\text{supp} \hat{f}_n \subset [0, +\infty)$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_{H_+^p} = 0.$$

The necessity of Proof of Theorem 4 is proved. If  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , the the Paley-Wiener Theorem asserts that

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} \hat{f}_n(t) e^{itz} dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}_+) \quad (9)$$

is in  $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ , and the following equality

$$\int_0^{\infty} |\hat{f}_n(t)|^2 dt = \|f_n\|_{H_+^2}^2$$

hold. By Hölder's inequality, for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\hat{f}_n, \varphi) - (\hat{f}, \varphi)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x + \frac{i}{n}) - f(x)) \hat{\varphi}(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \|f_n - f\|_p \|\hat{\varphi}\|_q \rightarrow 0, \quad y \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Therefore, for any  $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ , whose support of  $\hat{\varphi}$ ,  $\text{supp}\varphi \subset (-\infty, 0]$ , we have  $(\hat{f}, \varphi) = 0$ , so

$$\text{supp}\hat{f} \subset [0, \infty).$$

(6) can be derived from (9) by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and Hölder's inequality. The necessity of theorem 5 is proved.

**Proof of the sufficiency of Theorem 4.** Let  $0 < p < 1$ , if there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  satisfying  $f_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\text{supp}\hat{f}_n \subset [0, +\infty)$ , the Paley-Wiener Theorem asserts that

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}_n(t) e^{itz} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{f_n(t)}{t-z} dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}_+)$$

is in  $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ . Therefore,  $f_n \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$  by Corollary 4.3 in [6], and  $\|f_m - f_n\|_p = \|f_m - f_n\|_{H^p_+}$ . Thus there exists a  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_{H^p_+},$$

so  $f(x) \in H^p_+(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof of the sufficiency of Theorem 5 .** Let  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , If  $f(x) \in L^p$  and  $\text{supp}\hat{f} \subset [0, \infty)$ ,

$$|\chi_{[0, \infty)}(t) e^{2\pi iz \cdot t} \hat{f}(t)| = \chi_{[0, \infty)}(t) |\hat{f}(t)| e^{-2\pi y \cdot t} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

$\chi_{[0, \infty)}(t)$  is the characteristic function of  $[0, \infty)$ , that is,  $\chi_{[0, \infty)}(t) = 1$ , for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , and otherwise zero. So the function

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{izt} \hat{f}(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[0, \infty)}(t) e^{izt} \hat{f}(t) dt$$

is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C}_+$ . Therefore, to complete the proof of Theorem 4, it is sufficient to prove that  $G(z) \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$  and the boundary value of  $G(z)$  is  $f(x)$  as  $y \rightarrow 0$ . Fixed  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ , let

$$g_z(t) = \chi_{[0, \infty)}(t) \frac{e^{izt}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad \tilde{g}_z(t) = g_z(-t)$$

then  $g_z \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\hat{g}_z(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i(s-z)}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} G(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[0, \infty)}(t) e^{izt} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ist} F(s) ds \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(s) ds}{s-z}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}_+$ , let

$$I(z, w) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t) dt}{(t-z)(t-w)},$$

then

$$I(z, w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}_z(t) f(t) \hat{g}_w(-t) dt.$$

Since, for  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}_+$ ,  $\sqrt{2\pi}\hat{g}_z(t)\hat{g}_w(-t) = \widehat{g_z * \tilde{g}_w}(t)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} (g_z * \tilde{g}_w)(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_z(\xi)\tilde{g}_w(t-\xi)d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_z(\xi)g_w(\xi-t)d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\xi)e^{2\pi i z \xi} \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\xi-t)e^{2\pi i w(\xi-t)} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} I(z, w) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(s)\chi_{[0,\infty)}(s)(g_z * \tilde{g}_w)(s)ds \\ &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(s)\chi_{[0,\infty)}(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\xi)e^{2\pi i z \cdot \xi} \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\xi-s)e^{2\pi i w \cdot (\xi-s)} d\xi ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Fubini's theorem and

$$\chi_{[0,\infty)}(t)\chi_{[0,\infty)}(t+s)\chi_{[0,\infty)}(s) = \chi_{[0,\infty)}(t)\chi_{[0,\infty)}(s),$$

$$\begin{aligned} I(z, w) &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[0,\infty)}(s)\chi_{[0,\infty)}(t)\chi_{[0,\infty)}(t+s)e^{iz(s+t)}e^{iwt}\hat{f}(s)dsdt \\ &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[0,\infty)}(t)\chi_{[0,\infty)}(s)e^{izs}e^{i(z+w)t}\hat{f}(s)dt ds \\ &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{G(z)}{z+w}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ , we have  $-\bar{z} \in \mathbb{C}_+$ ,

$$I(z, -\bar{z}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{G(z)}{z-\bar{z}} = \frac{G(z)}{4\pi y}, \quad z = x + iy, y > 0.$$

So

$$G(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{4\pi y f(t) dt}{(2\pi i)^2 (t-z)(-t-\bar{z})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) P(x-t, y) dt,$$

where  $P(x, y) = \frac{y}{\pi(x^2+y^2)}$  is the Poisson Kernel associated with the upper half plane  $\mathbb{C}_+$ . Therefore the boundary value of  $G(z)$  is  $f(x)$  as  $y \rightarrow 0$  and  $G(z) \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ . Thus, the Theorem 5 is proved.

## References

- [1] G.T. Deng, *Complex Analysis* (in Chinese), Beijing Normal University Press, 2010.
- [2] B. Ya. Levin, *Lectures on Entire Functions*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs Vol 150, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1996.
- [3] T. Qian, *Characterization of boundary values of functions in Hardy spaces with application in signal analysis*, Journal of Integral Equations and Applications, Volume **17** Issue 2 (2005) 159-198.
- [4] T. Qian, *Mono-components for decomposition of signals*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 2006, **29**, 1187-1198.

- [5] T. Qian, *Boundary Derivatives of the Phases of Inner and Outer Functions and Applications*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 2009, 32: 253-263 .
- [6] J.B. Garnett., *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1996.