

# UNIQUENESS OF REACTION DIFFUSION PROCESSES\*

CHEN MU-FA (陈木法)

(Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, PRC)

Received July 7, 1989; revised October 12, 1989.

**Keywords:** particle system, reaction diffusion process, uniqueness.

## I. INTRODUCTION

This note proves the uniqueness of reaction diffusion processes constructed by Chen<sup>[1]</sup>. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  be the set of nonnegative integers,  $S$  a countable set and  $E = \mathbb{Z}_+^S$ . For each  $u \in S$ , suppose that we are given on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  a function  $C_u \geq 0$  with  $C_u(0) = 0$  and a conservative Q-matrix  $Q_u = (q_u(i, j))$ . For convenience, we set  $q_u(i, j) = 0$  for  $j < 0$ . Moreover, let  $P = (p(u, v))$  be a transition probability matrix on  $S$ . The formal generator of the processes considered here is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega f(\eta) &= \sum_{u \in S} \sum_{k \neq 0} q_u(\eta(u), \eta(u) + k) [f(\eta + ke_u) - f(\eta)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{u, v \in S} C_u(\eta(u)) p(u, v) [f(\eta - e_u + e_v) - f(\eta)] \\ &= \Omega_r f(\eta) + \Omega_d f(\eta), \quad \eta \in E, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $e_u$  is the unit vector in  $E$  with value 1 at  $u$ .  $\Omega_r$  and  $\Omega_d$  are called the reaction part and the diffusion part of  $\Omega$  respectively. We need the following hypotheses:

(H<sub>1</sub>) *Growing condition*

$$C = \sup_{u, k} |C_u(k) - C_u(k+1)| < \infty, \quad \sup_u \sum_{k \neq 0} q_u(i, i+k) |k| \leq C_1(1+i^m), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

where  $m$  is the minimal natural number so that the above control holds and  $C_1$  is a constant.

(H<sub>2</sub>) *Lipschitz condition*

$$C_2 = \sup \{g_u(j_1, j_2) + h_u(j_1, j_2) : u \in S, j_2 > j_1 \geq 0\} < \infty,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_u(j_1, j_2) &= \sum_{k \neq 0} [q_u(j_2, j_2+k) - q_u(j_1, j_1+k)] k / (j_2 - j_1), \\ h_u(j_1, j_2) &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(q_u(j_2, j_1-k) - q_u(j_1, 2j_1 - j_2 - k))^+ \\ &\quad + (q_u(j_1, j_2+k) - q_u(j_2, 2j_2 - j_1 + k))^+] k / (j_2 - j_1). \end{aligned}$$

\* Project supported in part by Ying-Tung Fok Education Foundation and the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

(H<sub>3</sub>) *Moment condition*

$$\sup_u \sum_{k \neq 0} q_u(i, i+k) [(i+k)^m - i^m] \leq C_3(1+i^m), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

(H<sub>4</sub>) *Transition condition*

$$\sup_v \sum_u p(u, v) < \infty.$$

The state space of the processes is  $E_1 = \{ \eta \in E : \|\eta\| = \sum_u \eta(u) \alpha(u) < \infty \}$ , where  $(\alpha(u) : u \in S)$  is a positive summable sequence such that  $\sum_v p(u, v) \alpha(v) \leq M \alpha(u)$  for some  $M > 0$  and all  $u \in S$ . As an example, we take  $\alpha(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{-n} \sum_v p^{(n)}(u, v) d(v)$ ,  $u \in S$ , where  $M > 1$ ,  $(p^{(n)}(u, v)) = P^n$  and  $(d(u) : u \in S)$  is a positive summable sequence. Set

$$C_4 = \sup \{ [C_u(j_1) - C_u(j_2)] / (j_2 - j_1) : u \in S, j_2 > j_1 \geq 0 \},$$

$$E_m = \{ \eta \in E : \|\eta\|_m = \sum_{u \in S} \eta(u)^m \alpha(u) < \infty \},$$

and we denote by  $\mathcal{L}_m$  the set of Lipschitz continuous functions on  $E_1$  with respect to  $\|\eta - \zeta\|_m = \sum_{u \in S} |\eta(u) - \zeta(u)| \alpha(u)^m$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{L}_m$ , let  $L_m(f)$  be the Lipschitz constant of  $f$ .

But we omit the index  $m$  when  $m = 1$ . Finally, let  $\{\Lambda_n\}_1^{\infty}$  be a fixed sequence of finite subsets of  $S$  such that  $\Lambda_n \uparrow S$ . Replacing  $S$  with  $\Lambda_n$  in (1) we may define  $\Omega_n, \Omega_{n,r}$  and  $\Omega_{n,d}$ . The semigroup corresponding to  $\Omega_n$  is denoted by  $S_n(t)$ .

## II. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 1.** *If (H<sub>1</sub>)—(H<sub>4</sub>) hold, then there exists uniquely a semigroup of positive operators  $S(t)$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $S(0) = I$ ;  $S(t)$  is strong contraction on the uniform closure  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ ;  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(t)f(\eta) = S(t)f(\eta)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{L}, \eta \in E_m$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Moreover,*

(i)  $S(t)f \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $L(S(t)f) \leq L(f) \exp[ t(C_2 + C_4 + C(M+1)) ]$  for  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $t \geq 0$ ;

(ii)  $S(t)(\|\cdot\|)(\eta) \leq C(t)(1 + \|\eta\|)$  for  $\eta \in E_m$  and  $t \geq 0$ , where  $C(t)$  is a constant depending on  $t$  only;

(iii)  $\frac{d}{dt} S(t)f = \Omega S(t)f = S(t)\Omega f$  for  $f \in \mathcal{L}, \eta \in E_m$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

Finally, there exists a Markov process  $(\{\eta_t\}_{t \geq 0}, P^n)$  on  $E_1$  such that

$$S(t)f(\eta) = \int f(\xi) P^n(\eta_t \in d\xi) = \int f(\xi) P(t, \eta, d\xi),$$

where  $P(t, \eta, d\xi)$  is the transition function of the process.

To state another uniqueness result, we replace (H<sub>3</sub>) and (H<sub>4</sub>) respectively with

$$(H'_3) \quad \Omega_{n,r}(\|\cdot\|^m)(\eta) \leq C'_3(1 + \|\eta\|^m), \quad \eta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, n \geq 1,$$

where  $C'_3$  is a constant independent of  $n$ ,

(H'<sub>4</sub>) there is a positive summable sequence  $(\alpha(u))$  and a constant  $M(m) > 0$  such that

$$\sum_v p(u, v) \alpha(v)^m \leq M(m) \alpha(u)^m, u \in S.$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(H_1), (H_2), (H_3')$  and  $(H_4')$  be satisfied. Then the assertions of Theorem 1 hold provided (ii) and (iii) are replaced by

- (ii)'  $S(t)(\|\cdot\|^m)(\eta) \leq C(t)(1 + \|\eta\|^m)$  for  $\eta \in E_1$  and  $t \geq 0$ ;
- (iii)' the above (iii) holds for  $f \in \mathcal{L}_m$  and  $\eta \in E_1$ .

Moreover, the above (i) can be stressed as follows:

- (i)'  $S(t)f \in \mathcal{L}_m$  for  $f \in \mathcal{L}_m$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

*Remark.* Note that  $(H_4)$  plus

$M_1 = \sup \{p(u, v)^{1-m} : u, v \in S \text{ and } p(u, v) > 0\} < \infty$   
 imply  $(H_4')$ . Indeed, if we take  $(\alpha(u))$  as before, then

$$\sum_v p(u, v) \alpha(v)^m \leq M_1 \sum_v \{p(u, v)^m \alpha(v)^m\} \leq M_1 (\sum_v p(u, v) \alpha(v))^m \leq (M_1 M^m) \alpha(u)^m, u \in S.$$

**Corollary 1.** Take  $C_u(k) = k$  and let the reaction be the type of birth-death:

$$q_u(i, i+1) = b(i), i \geq 0; q_u(i, i-1) = a(i), i \geq 1, u \in S.$$

Suppose that for some  $c \in (0, 1)$ , we have  $\overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} [b(i) - c^m a(i)]/i < \infty$ . Then  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_3')$  are satisfied. Furthermore, if  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_4)$  (resp.  $(H_4')$ ) hold, then Theorem 1 (resp. Theorem 2) is applicable.

*Proof.* Here, we check  $(H_3')$  only. Choose  $N^1 = N^1(m)$  so that  $\|\eta - e_u\| \geq c \|\eta + e_u\|$  whenever  $\eta(u) \geq N^1$ . Next, choose  $N^2$  so that  $[b(i) - c^m a(i)]/i \leq A$  for some  $A \in (0, \infty)$  and all  $i \geq N^2$ . Put  $N = N^1 \vee N^2$ . Then, for each  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{n,r}(\|\cdot\|^m)(\eta) &= \sum_{u \in \Lambda_n} \{b(\eta(u))[\|\eta + e_u\|^m - \|\eta\|^m] + a(\eta(u))[\|\eta - e_u\|^m - \|\eta\|^m]\} \\ &= \sum_{u \in \Lambda_n} \alpha(u) \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \|\eta\|^l \|\eta + e_u\|^{m-1-l} \left[ b(\eta(u)) - a(\eta(u)) \left( \frac{\|\eta - e_u\|}{\|\eta + e_u\|} \right)^m \right] \\ &= \sum_{u \in \Lambda_n, \eta(u) \geq N} + \sum_{u \in \Lambda_n, \eta(u) \leq N-1} \\ &\leq m(A + \max_{0 \leq i \leq N-1} b(i)) (\|\eta\| + |\alpha|)^m, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\Lambda_n}, n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\alpha| = \sum_u \alpha(u)$ .

**Corollary 2.** For the autocatalytic model:  $C_u(k) = k, q_u(i, i+1) = \beta_1 i, q_u(i, i-2) = \delta_2 i(i-1), k, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, u \in S$ , the same conclusions of Corollary 1 hold.

When  $S = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $P$  is the simplest random walk, the uniqueness conclusion in the sense of Theorem 2 for the last model was proved by Zheng<sup>[2]</sup>.

### III. PROOFS

We first prove Theorem 1 briefly.

a) It follows from [3, Theorem 16] or [4, Theorem 2.3.7] that  $S_n(t)$  is uniquely determined by  $\Omega_n$ . For  $m \geq 2$ , by  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_4)$  and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{n,d}(\|\cdot\|)(\eta) &= \sum_{u,v \in \Lambda_n} C_u(\eta(u)) p(u,v) [\|\eta - e_u + e_v\| - \|\eta\|] \\ &\leq (2^m - 2) \sum_{u,v \in \Lambda_n} C \eta(u) [p(u,v) \alpha(v)]^{1/m} [p(u,v) \alpha(v)]^{(m-1)/m} \eta(v)^{m-1} + CM \|\eta\| \\ &\leq (2^m - 2) C \left[ \sum_{u,v \in \Lambda_n} \eta(u)^m p(u,v) \alpha(v) \right]^{1/m} \left[ \sum_{u,v \in \Lambda_n} p(u,v) \alpha(v) \eta(v)^m \right]^{(m-1)/m} + CM \|\eta\| \\ &\leq (2^m - 2) CM^{1/m} \|\eta\|^{1/m} \left( \sup_v \sum_u p(u,v) \right)^{(m-1)/m} \cdot \|\eta\|^{(m-1)/m} + CM \|\eta\| \\ &\leq \text{const.} \|\eta\|. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality holds even for  $m=1$ . From this and  $(H_3)$ , we see that there is a constant  $\bar{C}_3$  so that  $\Omega_n(\|\cdot\|)(\eta) \leq \bar{C}_3(1 + \|\eta\|)$ . Thus, by [2, Lemma 6.7.19], we have

$$S_n(t)(\|\cdot\|)(\eta) \leq \exp[\bar{C}_3 t] (1 + \|\eta\|), \quad t \geq 0, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\Lambda_n}, n \geq 1.$$

By using an approximating argument, we obtain

$$S(t)(\|\cdot\|)(\eta) \leq \exp[\bar{C}_3 t] (1 + \|\eta\|), \quad t \geq 0, \eta \in E_m. \quad (2)$$

This proves not only (ii) but also that  $E_m$  is a closed set of the process.

b) By [1], [4] and [5] we know that there exists a semigroup  $S(t)$  having all properties in Theorem 1 except the last equality of (iii). However, it follows from  $(H_1)$  that

$$|\Omega f(\eta)| \leq \bar{C}_1 L(f)(1 + \|\eta\|), \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \eta \in E_m.$$

Hence  $|\Omega S(t)f(\eta)| \leq \bar{C}_1 L(S(t)f)(1 + \|\eta\|)$  and so

$$|S(t)f(\eta) - f(\eta)|/t \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |\Omega S(s)f(\eta)| ds \leq \bar{C}_1 (1 + \|\eta\|) L(f) \exp[C_2 + C_4 + C(M+1)]$$

for  $t \leq 1$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\eta \in E_m$ . Therefore, by (2) and the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} S(t)[S(s)f(\eta) - f(\eta)]/s = S(t)\Omega f(\eta), \quad t \geq 0, f \in \mathcal{L}, \eta \in E_m.$$

This proves the last equality of (iii).

c) Now, let  $S_k(t)$ ,  $k=1,2$  be two semigroups having the properties in Theorem 1. To prove the uniqueness, we need only to show that  $S_1(t)f = S_2(t)f$ ,  $t \geq 0$  for all bounded  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . Since  $E_m$  is dense in  $E_1$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$ , by the Lipschitz property of the semigroups, it suffices to show that  $S_1(t)f(\eta) = S_2(t)f(\eta)$  for all  $\eta \in E_m$  and  $t \geq 0$ . On the other hand,  $E_m$  is a closed set of  $S_k(t)$ ,  $k=1,2$ , the required fact is a straightforward consequence of (iii) (see [4, Corollary 6.4.22] for details).

*Remark.* In view of the above proof, we can restrict ourselves to  $E_m$  in the study of the process.

Now, we turn to prove Theorem 2.

a) By (H<sub>4</sub>') and [1], [5], we can use  $(\alpha(u)^m)$  and  $M(m)$  instead of  $(\alpha(u))$  and  $M$  respectively to construct a semigroup  $S(t)$  having the Lipschitz property with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_m$ . So (i)' holds.

b) Because of

$$\Omega_{n,d}(\|\cdot\|^m)(\eta) \leq mCM \|\eta\| (\|\eta\| + |\alpha|)^{m-1}, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, n \geq 1$$

and (H<sub>3</sub>'), there is a constant  $\bar{C}_3'$  such that

$$\Omega_n(\|\cdot\|^m)(\eta) \leq \bar{C}_3'(1 + \|\eta\|^m), \eta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, n \geq 1.$$

A similar argument as above gives us

$$S(t)(\|\cdot\|^m)(\eta) \leq \exp[\bar{C}_3' t] (1 + \|\eta\|^m), t \geq 0, \eta \in E_1.$$

This is just (ii)'.

c) For  $f \in \mathcal{S}_m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega f(\eta)| &\leq L_m(f) \left[ \sum_u C_1 (1 + \eta(u)^m) \alpha(u)^m + C(1 + M(m)) \sum_u \eta(u) \alpha(u)^m \right] \\ &\leq \text{const. } L_m(f) (1 + \|\eta\|^m), \eta \in E_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the remainder of the proof is similar to the previous one.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Chen, M. F., *Acta Math. Sinica*, **1** (1985), 261 — 273.
- [2] 郑小谷, 科学通报, **32** (1987), 394 — 395.
- [3] Chen, M. F., *Acta Math. Sinica*, **2** (1986), 123 — 136.
- [4] 陈木法, 跳过程与粒子系统, 北京师范大学出版社, 1986.
- [5] Chen, M. F., *Scientia Sinica*, **30 A** (1987), 148 — 156.